



Armando Bencomo <armando.bencomo@lacity.org>

FOR COUNCIL FILE 21-0119-S1

1 message

Tue, Dec 7, 2021 at 8:22 AM

To: Armando Bencomo <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>, armando.bencomo@lacity.org

The public comment portal has never sent its "email verification". Please submit the below to the council file WITHOUT publishing my private email address. The Brown Act does not allow you to require my email in order to submit my public comment.
Thank you.

RE TAIX;AGENDA ITEM #15, 16;12.7.2021

To the PLUM COMMITTEE:

This Committee is responsible for approving or denying this Cultural Heritage Monument designation.

IT IS AGAINST STATE LAWS TO DO WHAT COUNCILMEMBER O'FARRELL IS ASKING YOU TO DO. WHEN THE CITY GETS SUED AGAIN OVER THIS MATTER, NO DOUBT THE PLUM COMMITTEE SHOULD BE NAMED AS ONE OF THE DEFENDANTS.

At some point, before you were members of this Committee and before you were elected to represent the citizens in your districts, you were human beings. Hopefully trying to do the right thing and not be in singular lock step with each other.

What would actually happen if just one of you voted on the opinion of your own?

The Los Angeles Cultural Heritage Commission voted to designate TAIX - THE BUILDING - as a Historical Cultural Monument. Survey LA, handfuls of professional historians agree with this recommendation. If it appears as though O'Farrell has a hidden agenda here ITS BECAUSE HE DOES. The new owners of the TAIX building have given O'FARRELL hundreds of thousands of dollars in campaign contributions. Whereas all he has gotten from us, were his votes to be in the position he is in.

What a waste of everyone's time.



Armando Bencomo <armando.bencomo@lacity.org>

Public Comments Not Uploaded Council File 21-0119

1 message

Jesse Sanford <88jsanford88@gmail.com>

Tue, Dec 7, 2021 at 9:41 AM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, jason.p.douglas@lacity.org, len.nguyen@lacity.org, chc@lacity.org, afine@laconservancy.org, clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To Whom It May Concern,

I urge the PLUM Committee to fully approve the HCM status of the historic Taix Restaurant for the following reasons:

1. The CHC previously voted and recommended the Taix Building be designated a HCM under Criterion 1.
2. Taix Restaurant has been recognized by the City as a historic resource, having been listed in Survey LA.
2. Councilmember O'Farrell has proposed far-reaching "amendments," which undermine the recommendation and findings of the CHC and, if allowed, would set a dangerous precedent for historic preservation.
3. The community is confident that this does not have to be an either/or situation - there are opportunities for creative design and compromise to preserve Taix Restaurant and still develop the property. We urge those parties involved to come to the table and develop a win-win solution for all.

Thank you,
Jesse